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Abstract

Groundwater has been shown to contribute markedly to the daily iron intake of the rural Bangladeshi population and is currently characterized as an under-assessed possible source of dietary iron. Estimation of the levels of iron in groundwater in relation to dietary/nutritional assessments has been called for. However, the ability to do this may be limited due to unavailable equipment or technical, logistical and financial issues in remote areas of low- to middle-income countries. Groundwater with higher levels of iron has distinctive organoleptic properties such as a characteristic metallic/bitterly taste and reddish color. Anecdotal experience suggests that there is an association between a stronger metallic taste of water and its iron content. Therefore, we conducted a cross-sectional pilot study assessing the relationship of taste perception for iron in groundwater and its actual concentration of iron. Thirteen tube-wells were selected systematically in a rural village of northern Bangladesh. A brief structured interview was conducted with a systematic sampling of people living nearby to collect information on the tube-wells, perception of the taste of water from the wells, and their overall perception of the level of iron in the water. Two observers from the research team tasted a water sample from each well for iron and compared their observations. Iron concentration of the tube-well water was determined quantitatively by a test kit (Hach kit model 18B). The concentration of iron was significantly higher in water taste-rated by both the villagers and observers as "strong" for iron...
than in water taste-rated as having "some" iron. There were significant correlations between the
taste-ratings of the two observers and between the observers and villagers. Bland-Altman
plotting suggests that external observers are likely to provide valid and reproducible taste-ratings
for the presence of iron in the water. A larger study is required to validate tasting as a cheap,
simple and novel way to assess iron concentration in groundwater.
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Background and rationale

Groundwater iron has long been regarded as an agent causing operational difficulties for humans,
e.g., clogging household water supplies, home appliances, and staining clothes and body parts,
such as teeth and nails. High levels of iron in groundwater result in unpleasant esthetic
experiences with water, e.g., bad taste, odor, and color. However, recent studies in Bangladesh
have revealed that iron in groundwater is a good source of absorbable iron in humans, leading to
good iron and hemoglobin status in the population (Merrill 2011; Rahman 2016). Based on these
findings, policymakers have endorsed the importance of considering groundwater iron in
anemia/micronutrient deficiency control programs (Institute of Public Health Nutrition and
United Nation Children’s Fund 2016). However, assessing iron in groundwater requires a
rigorous technical procedure to follow (Merrill, personal communication) and has logistical and
cost implications; more importantly the equipment is not readily available in most low-income
countries. Groundwater with iron has a distinctive "metallic"/"bitter" taste. Anecdotal
experience of local residents suggests that there is an association between a strong metallic taste
of water and the iron content in water. Further, they report staining of clothes, teeth, nails when
using groundwater. They thus often use pond or river water (i.e. surface water) for bathing and
washing clothes. When local residents perceive that a well contains only a small amount of iron,
such complaints are uncommon. Hence, their perception of iron content in water is
complemented by the presence or absence of some adverse consequences.

The present pilot study was conducted to assess the relationship between taste-rating of
groundwater samples for iron and its actual iron concentration. It may provide the inspiration for
conducting a larger study to validate whether the simple act of taste-rating of water samples for
iron is adequate for the assessment of the iron level in groundwater.

Methods

Thirteen participants with nearby tube-wells were selected systematically from Madai Khamar
village in Lohanipara union (a cluster of villages) of Badarganj sub-district in northern
Bangladesh. A village street was chosen at random from the main road of the village, leading to
the bank of the river of the area. The first tube-well selected was at the bank of the river. After
that, in the retrograde direction from the river bank, every third tube-well was selected until 13
were selected. The purpose of the study was described, and verbal consent of the participants
(household head or his wife) was taken before the collection of data. Information was requested
on the tube-well the household was using for drinking water, such as the depth of the well,
his/her perception of the degree of iron present in the well water, his/her taste perception of iron
in water and water drinking behaviors. The depth estimation was aided by asking the respondent
the number of pipes used to sink the well, each of which has a standard length.

**Perception of levels of iron in groundwater:** The measurement tool was adopted
from an existing 4-point scale that had been found to be able to predict iron status in
groundwater accurately (Merrill 2010). In Merrill’s study, respondents were asked by the field
enumerator a simple question as, “How much iron do you think is in the water that you pump
from this drinking tube well?” The response options in the hedonic scale were: none=0, a little
amount=1, a medium=2 and a lot=3. Respondents were asked to base this on their overall
perception of iron in water based on the organoleptic qualities and their personal experiences
(taste, smell, the physical appearance of water, iron discoloration of utensils, clothes, teeth, etc.)
(Merrill 2011).

We also used a hedonic scale but modified it to: no taste of iron=0, some taste of iron=1 and
strong taste of iron=2. We chose a more limited number of options in the scale, because
differentiation of too many grades of taste perception may be difficult and can lead to a higher
level of subjective error. Our taste-rating tool was based only on taste perception.

Two observers from the research team tasted the water sample of each well and noted their
observations. To avoid bias, this observation was completed before interviewing the household
members.

**Estimation of iron in groundwater samples:** Before collecting the water sample,
the selected tube-well was pumped for 5 minutes to purge any residual minerals that might have
built up in the pipes and to have access to the deeper aquifer. A freshwater sample was collected
directly into a 1 L plastic bucket rinsed with the respective tube-well water. Total iron
concentration in this water was determined to the nearest 0.1 mg/L by the Hach kit model 18B
test kit using the FerroVer method (Merrill 2009) which includes 1,10-Phenanthroline as a
reagent. This test kit method has been validated for iron in groundwater (Merrill 2009).

**Statistical analysis:** To determine the association between the depth of the tube-well and
concentration of iron in its water, a curvilinear fit model was used. Since the perceived taste-
rating for iron levels in water is an ordinal (3-point) variable and iron concentration in water is a
continuous variable, to assess the correlation of the observer’s and the villager’s taste-ratings of
iron in water with its actual iron concentration, Spearman's rank correlation coefficient
(Spearman's rho) were estimated (https://onlinecourses.science.psu.edu/stat509/node/157). To
study the correlation of the ranks of the taste perception of iron in the tube-well water between
the villagers vis-à-vis the observers and between the observers, Kendall’s $\tau_b$ coefficients were
estimated, as there were many tied values over the corresponding ranks
Non-parametric tests were used to determine the statistical difference in the mean estimates of
iron in the water. Data analysis was done with the statistical software, STATA 14 (STATA Inc.
College Station, Texas, USA) and SPSS 18.
Ethics approval: The study was conducted in preparation for a trial assessing the efficacy of a new formulation of micronutrient powder (MNP with a low dose of iron) in children of areas with high levels of iron in groundwater. The trial received approval from the Research Ethical Committee of Faculty of Biological Science, Dhaka University, Bangladesh and Griffith University Human Research Ethics Committee, Australia.

Results

Data were obtained for both observers for 13 tube wells, but from villagers for only 11 of them. Figure 1 illustrates the non-significant association between the depth of the tube-wells and iron concentration in its water (standardized $\beta = -2.07$, $p=0.2$).

Figure 1: Relation of the depth of tube-well and concentration of iron (irnconc) in the groundwater sample

![Graph showing the relation between depth and iron concentration](image)

$^1$Quadratic Curve-Fit
Table 1 shows the taste-rating of the degree of iron in tube-well water and how it related to measured iron concentrations in the sample water. Among the villagers who tasted “some” level of iron in the water of their wells, the mean (SD) and median concentrations of iron in the water samples were 0.71 (0.39) mg/l and 0.5 mg/l respectively. For the villagers who rated that their wells as having a “strong” taste of iron, the concentrations of iron in the water samples were 4.62 (3.37) mg/l and 5.0 mg/l as mean (SD) and median respectively. As can be seen in Table 1, the values for the two observers showed similar trends.

Table 1: Taste rating for iron in groundwater and distribution of measured levels of iron

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taste rating of Fe$^3$ status in wells</th>
<th>Fe in tube well water</th>
<th>Test for Difference of Means</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villagers</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Some taste of Fe”</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.5-1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Strong taste of Fe”</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.0-7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observer 1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Some taste of Fe”</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.5-1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Strong taste of Fe”</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.0-7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observer 2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Some taste of Fe”</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.5-2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Strong taste of Fe”</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.0-7.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$Independent Samples Kruskal Wallis Test  
$^2$Independent Samples Mann-Whitney U Test  
$^3$Fe=iron

For the villagers as well as for the two observers, the mean of iron in “some” vs “strong” taste of iron was significantly different.

Table 2 depicts the correlation coefficients of taste-rating for the level of iron in tube-well water and measured iron concentration in the water samples. All had high and statistically significant correlations.

Table 2: Correlation of iron taste rating in tube-well water and measured concentration of iron

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Iron concentration in tube-well water</th>
<th>Coefficient$^1$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Villagers</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.599*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observer 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.759***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observer 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.630**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p=0.031, **p=0.021, ***p=0.003  
$^1$Spearman rank correlation coefficient

Table 3 depicts the correlation matrix showing the association of taste-rating for iron in tube-well water among the villagers and the observers. These also were high and significant.
Table 3: Correlation matrix showing association of taste-rating for iron in tube-well water among the villagers and observers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Villagers</th>
<th>Observer 1</th>
<th>Observer 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Villagers</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.849***</td>
<td>0.697*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observer 1</td>
<td>0.849***</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.822**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observer 2</td>
<td>0.697*</td>
<td>0.822**</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p=0.012, **p=0.004, ***p=0.002 (2-tailed)

1Kendall’s τ b

Figure 2 shows Bland-Altman plots using the average of two ratings on the x-axis and the difference between those on the y-axis. The Bland-Altman comparison between the villagers and observer 1 (Grid A) shows the limits of agreement (reference range for difference) as -0.905 to 0.597. The analysis shows the mean difference, -0.154 (95% CI -0.381 to 0.073) and ranges from 0.5 to 2.0. Pitman's test of difference in variance is r=0.576; p=0.039.

Figure 2: Bland-Altman Plots showing the limits of agreement between different assessors on the taste-rating of groundwater sample for the presence of iron
The Bland-Altman comparison between the villagers and observer 2 (Grid B) shows the limits of agreement ranged from -1.064 to 0.910. The analysis further shows the mean difference of -0.077 (95% CI -0.375 to 0.221) and the range from 0.5 to 2.0. Pitman's test of difference in variance reports the $r=0.547; p=0.053$. Bland-Altman comparison between observer 1 and 2 (Grid C) shows the limits of agreement ranges from -0.478 to 0.632. Analysis reveals the mean difference of 0.077 (95% CI -0.091 to 0.245) with a range from 1.0 to 2.0. Pitman's test of difference in variance reports $r=0.158; p=0.606$.

A 4-point module of the villager’s overall perception of the degree of iron present in tube-well water samples and iron concentration showed a significant correlation; Spearman rho=0.76, p=0.003 (data not shown).

Discussion

The present pilot study from a rural community of Bangladesh reports the relationship of taste-perception of the degree of iron present in the groundwater sample and the actual concentration of iron. It further examined the level of agreement between various raters about their perceptions of iron in the water, to determine whether in this setting taste-ratings by individuals has the potential to develop into a reliable tool for assessing levels of iron in groundwater. The study also compared the perception of the villagers of the level of iron in groundwater samples with the actual concentration of iron in the waters, using a modified version of a tool which had been used elsewhere in Bangladesh (Merrill 2009). Our finding of a positive relationship between the villager’s perceived iron level and the actual amount of iron in the groundwater is in agreement with the other studies conducted in Bangladesh (Merrill 2009; Wendt 2016).

The analysis showed a non-significant negative association between the depth of the tube-wells and concentration of iron in its water, consistent with Bangladesh groundwater characteristics, where typically shallow wells have a higher concentration of minerals, such as iron and arsenic (DPHE/BGS 2001). The reason for the non-significant association could be due to the small sample size.

The wells that were rated to have tasted “strong” for iron had a significantly higher concentration of iron than the wells rated to have “some” taste of iron. This finding is in agreement with our assumption before embarking on the study that water with a stronger taste of iron might have a higher concentration of iron.

The Bland-Altman plot analysis is a simple way to evaluate a bias between the mean differences and to estimate an agreement interval, within which 95% of the differences of the second method, compared to the first one, fall (Giavarina 2015). As per the results of the Bland Altman analysis, a non-significant Pitman's test of difference in variance signifies that the measurements between the observer 1 and 2 are likely to be in acceptable agreement and reproducible. On the other hand, the significant Pitman’s variances are indicative that the measurements between the villagers vs. observer 1 and the villagers vs. observer 2 are unlikely to be an acceptable agreement. The difference in ratings between the villagers vs. observers is likely due to habituation of the villagers in using iron-rich water, leading to under-estimation of the concentration of iron in their well water. Acceptable agreement between the observer 1 and
observer 2 and apparently higher correlations of their taste-ratings for iron and actual iron concentrations in the water indicates that the external taste assessors could be more appropriate for assessment.

A limitation of the study is that it is based on a very small number of samples. As such, some instability in the findings cannot be ruled out. The results of the study yielded a relatively large SD around the mean estimates of iron concentration. A study with a larger sample size would likely have smaller variances. Nonetheless, observation of the expected direction of the associations and its consistency underlie the potential that the findings might be replicable in a larger study. The mean iron estimates sorted by different taste categories would also likely be more reliable, and thus has the potential to establish a reference iron concentration for groundwater organized by “some” and “strong” levels of taste categories for iron. The reference values can be used in relevant future studies, e.g., dietary assessments, iron/anemia program development and evaluations.

In conclusion, taste-rating of groundwater sample for the degree of presence of iron bears a positive association with the concentration of iron in the sample. External assessors are likely to provide valid and reproducible taste-rating for the presence of iron in the water. A larger study is required to validate the findings which might help to develop the taste-rating of the water sample as a cheap, simple and novel tool for a semi-quantitative assessment of iron in groundwater in the poor resource settings.
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